

Use of high Concentrations of Carbon Dioxide for Stunning Rabbits Reared for Meat Production

World Rabbit Science (2016) 24, 25-37

Dalmau, A.^{1,*}, Pallisera, J.¹, Pedernera, C.¹, Muñoz, I.², Carreras, R.¹, Casal, N.¹, Mainau, E.¹, Rodríguez, P.¹, Velarde, A.¹.

¹ Animal Welfare Unit. IRTA. Veïnat de Sies s/n. 17121. Monells. Girona. Spain.

² Food Technology Unit. IRTA. Finca Camps i Armet s/n. 17121. Monells. Girona. Spain

* Corresponding author: antoni.dalmau@irta.es.

Abstract

An investigation was performed to determine whether high concentrations of carbon dioxide (CO₂) at 70-98% in atmospheric air are a suitable alternative for stunning rabbits compared to conventional approaches such as electronarcosis. Aversion to the gas and efficacy in causing prolonged unconsciousness and death were studied in a total of 480 rabbits by means of behavioural parameters, physiological indicators (presence of rhythmic breathing and corneal reflex) and electroencephalography (EEG, brain function). The use of any of the 4 studied concentrations of the gas caused more nasal discomfort and vocalisations than the use of atmospheric air (P<0.001). EEG activity confirmed that loss of posture is a good indicator of the onset of unconsciousness in rabbits exposed to CO₂, occurring earlier (P<0.05) at 90 and 98% than at 70 and 80%. Rabbits showed signs of aversion for 15 s before the onset of unconsciousness, which occurred around 30 s after the beginning of the exposure to the gas, similar to species such as swine in which high concentrations of CO₂ are also used for stunning. CO₂ at 80 to 98% is suggested as a reasonable concentration range to induce a long state of unconsciousness and death in rabbits, while 70% CO₂ is not recommended because it requires too long duration of exposure (more than 360 s) to ensure effectiveness. Despite the advantages in terms of pre-stun handling and irreversibility, CO₂ is not free of animal welfare concerns. In consequence, a debate is necessary to ascertain if CO₂ can be considered a suitable alternative to stun rabbits, considering the advantages and drawbacks cited, quantified in the present study as 15 s of aversion (nasal discomfort and vocalisations) before losing posture.